

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/182,825

10/29/98

TEN KATE

W

PHN-16.695

WM51/1102

CORPORATION PATENT COUNSEL US PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN NY 10591 HONG, S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2176

DATE MAILED:

11/02/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



	Application No.	Applicant/o)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s) Tenkate	
Office Action Summary	09/182,825 Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	Hona		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period for Response		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE HAM	MONTH(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) da If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response w 	ays, a response within the statuto default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	,		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1/22/99	·	
☐ This action is FINAL.	, ,		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 			
Disposition of Claims			
€ Claim(s) [- 12		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
☐ Claim(s)			
✓ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
		are subject to restriction or election	
□ Claim(s)			
		requirement.	
□ Claim(s)			
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	ving Review, PTO-948.	requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ving Review, PTO-948 is	requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	ving Review, PTO-948 is	requirement.	
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved jected to by the Examiner.	requirement. □ disapproved.	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. . v under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents ha	requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. r under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-of the priority documents had	requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. v under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents harmber)	requirement. □ disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the I	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. v under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents harmber)	requirement. □ disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
□ Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. v under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-of the priority documents handler. International Bureau (PCT forms)	requirement. □ disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is approved jected to by the Examiner. v under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-of the priority documents handler) International Bureau (PCT for No(s).	requirement. disapproved. (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97) Art Unit: 2176

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: application, filed on 10/29/1998, prior art, filed on 10/29/1998 and 7/22/1999.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 9 and 11 are independent claims.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. § 119, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Art Unit: 2176

Specification

5. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
 - 1. Field of the Invention.
 - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (l) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).

6. Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a). The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification. It should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development: See MPEP § 310.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/182,825

Art Unit: 2176

- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See 37CFR 1.96® and MPEP § 608.05. The total number of microfiche and the total number frames should be specified.
- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. This item may also be titled "Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention." Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in

Art Unit: 2176

the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.

- (I) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet. (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps.
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 250 words or less on a separate sheet following the claims.
- (k) <u>Drawings</u>: See 37 CFR 1.81, 1.83-1.85, and MPEP § 608.02.
- (l) <u>Sequence Listing</u>: See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.
- 7. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 8. Examiner requests that Applicant review the application carefully for informalities including typographical errors.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2176

Dependent claims 10 and 12 are rejected for being improper hybrid claims. The claims contain both apparatus and method as the subject matter, or both apparatus and information carrier as the subject matter." See Ex parte Lyell, 17 USPQ2d 1548.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371° of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

11. Claims 1-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moorby et al., U.S. Pat. No. 5,892,507, 4/99 (filed on 4/6/95).

As per Claims 1-6, Moorby teaches the use of a sub-presentation which comprises a plurality of sequence of presentation (FIG.11a-11c), wherein the sequence of presentation are presented one after the other, and simultaneously with respect to each other (FIG.12a; col.12, lines 7-35), wherein the start and duration are also specified (col.11, lines 45-55, "...the length of a TimeLine track and the Icons along it depict the duration..."), and further shows that the interface of the sub-presentation provides a time references (see FIG.12b).

Art Unit: 2176

As per dependent claim 8, Moorby teaches that the sub-presentation provides a sub-presentation priority specifying a priority with respect to presenting the subpresentation (FIG.1 shows the priority direction of the sub-presentations along the storyline).

Claims 9-12 recite substantially similar limitations as Claim 1 and are similarly rejected under the same rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103[©] and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

13. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moorby et al. in view of Gudmundson et al., U.S. Pat. No 5,680,619, 10/97 (filed 4/95).

As per dependent claim 7, Moorby does not explicitly teach that the play-out specification includes a location specification specifying a location of the presentation element when presented and wherein interface of the sub-presentation provides a location frame of

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/182,825

Art Unit: 2176

reference relative to which the location specification for the presentation element is specified. This feature, however, is shown by Gudmundson. Like, Moorby, Gudmundson also teaches authoring the multimedia presentation using the sub-presentation groups, called "containers" (col.8, lines 25-67). Note that within a container includes the sequence of presentations, and also contains the location attributes (e.g., FIG.16(c)), all of which are interfaced by the container's object interface (col.16, lines 53+). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated Gudmundson's feature into Moorby, since a person of ordinary skill would have appreciated that would have provide a user of the Moorby's editor the ability to edit the layout information in addition to the timing information.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

5,751,281	5/98	Hoddie et al.	345/30)2
5,892,506	4/99	Hermanson	345/30)2
5,748,187	5/98	Kim et al.	345/30)2
5,969,716	10/99	Davis et al.	345/32	28
6,064,379	5/00	DeMoney	345/32	28
5,889,514	3/99	Boezeman et a	al.	345/302

Art Unit: 2176

5,898,430	4/99	Matsuzawa et al.	345/302
5,861,880	1/99	Shimizu et al. 345/30)2
5 818 435	10/98	Kozuka et al. 345/30	12

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Hong whose telephone number is (703) 308-5465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 305-9724 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Stephen Hong

Primary Examiner

October 31, 2000